

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,031	0	9/08/2004	Ian Alastair Kirk	200653 (8830-292)	8779	
23973	7590	07/07/2005	•	EXAMINER		
		& REATH	DANG, HOANG C			
	FELLECTU. AN SQUAR	AL PROPERTY GI E	ROUP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHERRY		3672			
PHILADE	LPHIA, PA	19103-6996		DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/507,031	KIRK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoang Dang	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan			is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-20</u> is/are rejected.						
 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	election requirement					
are subject to restriction under	ologion roquiromoni.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			(u).			
,	arimier. Note the attached cines					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		d III tilis National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.				
222	COUNTRY ACTORISE CHIEF ACTION A HOLE OF THE COLUMN CONTROL CONTROL					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/08/2004</u> .	6) Other:					

HC

Application/Control Number: 10/507,031

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bailey et al (US 6.098,717) (see "slotted expandable centralizer" 18 which has "slots" 26).

Application/Control Number: 10/507,031

Art Unit: 3672

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 10, 12-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as clearly anticipated by Ducasse et al (US 6,789,622).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's structure when members (150) and (152a,152b) of Ducasse et al are respectively considered as "slotted expandable centralizer" and "slots" as recited.

4. Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Buytaert (US 2002/0112853).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's structure when members 8, 16 and (spaces or slots defined between blades 16) of Buytaert are considered as "slotted expandable centralizer", "blades" and "slots" as recited. It noted that centralizer 8 of Buytaert is movable from a non-expanded configuration in Figure 1 to an expanded configuration in Figure 2.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Royer (US 5,785,125).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's structure when members (44,46,48,50,52), (the spaces or slots defined between adjacent spring members 48-52) and (48-52) are respectively considered as (slotted expandable centralizer", "slots" and "blades" as recited. It noted that centralizer 12 of Royer is movable from a non-expanded configuration in Figure 2 to an expanded configuration in Figure 1.

6. Claims 1-4, 10, 12, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Lauritzen (US 6,662,876).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's structure when members (420a-c and 520a-c) are considered as the "slotted expandable centralizer" as recited. As for claim 4,

Application/Control Number: 10/507,031

Art Unit: 3672

members 420a-c and 520a-c of Lauritzen is capable of receiving an expandable tubular and capable of being deformed radially with the expandable tubular upon expansion of the tubular. It is noted the claim does not required the "tubular".

7. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-12, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simpson et al (US 6,899,181).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's structure when members (500), (504) and (502) of Simpson et al are respectively considered as "slotted expandable centralizer", "slots" and "blades" as recited. As for claim 4, member 500 of Simpson et al is capable of receiving an expandable tubular and capable of being deformed radially with the expandable tubular upon expansion of the tubular. It is noted that the claim is not require the "tubular".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailey et al '717 or Lauritzen '876 in view of Metcalfe (US 6.065,500)

Bailey et al or Lauritzen discloses the invention as claimed except that the slots of adjacent rows are not disclosed as being overlapped. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the slots of Lauritzen

Art Unit: 3672

overlapped as claimed in order to enhance its expandability in view of the teaching of Metcalfe (see slots 20 and column 1, lines 26-40).

Allowable Subject Matter.

10. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Specification

11. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1, line 4, the word "application" should not be capitalized. Page 9, the last sentence should be ended with a period.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672 Page 6